

**Ventura County Community College District  
Consultation Council Notes  
February 26, 2016**

**Present:** Rick Post, Sherice Bellamy, Linda Kama'ila, Jim Limbaugh, Olivia Long, Lori Bennett, Gary Maehara (Michael Shanahan), Kim Hoffmans (Greg Gillespie)  
**Conference Call:** Luis Sanchez, Steve Hall, Jennifer Clark  
**Not Present:** Clare Geisen, Greg Gillespie, Michael Shanahan  
**Guest:** Arnoldo Avalos, Board of Governors Member  
**Chair:** Dave Keebler  
**Recorder:** Patti Blair  
**Approved:** April 1, 2016

Agenda ItemAp	Summary of Discussion	Action	Assigned to:
<b>Opening of Meeting</b>	B. Luskin welcomed everyone to the meeting.	N/A	Bernard Luskin
<b>Review of Consultation Council Notes</b>	The January 29, 2016 Consultation Council Notes were reviewed and approved, with one change.	N/A	Bernard Luskin
<b>Board Agenda</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>March 2, 2016 Policy Hearing on Accreditation</b></li> <li>• <b>March 8, 2016 Board Meeting</b></li> </ul>	<p>The March 2, 2016 Policy Hearing on Accreditation was reviewed and discussed.</p> <p>The March 8, 2016 Board Meeting Agenda was reviewed and discussed. Consultation Council members expressed appreciation that two Closed Session items were moved to the end of the Board agenda.</p> <p>Consultation Council Members discussed reordering the agenda and move reports to the end of the meeting. B. Luskin indicated the Board Chair and Vice Chair are reviewing the agenda process.</p> <p>7.04 Board of Trustees Meeting Assessment noted one Board member disagrees 2, 4, and 7 related to agenda items not being specifically</p>		Bernard Luskin

Agenda ItemAp	Summary of Discussion	Action	Assigned to:
	<p>addressed.</p> <p>Concern was expressed related to Agenda Item 8.02 District Administrative Center Relocation and the recent presentations related to the total cost of ownership. D. Keebler will provide an update. B. Luskin reminded Consultation Council Members that the District Administrative Center Relocation is reviewed monthly during the Finance and Capital Planning Committee Meeting. The next committee meeting is scheduled March 2. L. Kama'ila will email D. Keebler specific concerns.</p> <p>S. Bellamy will work with D. Keebler to provide the Board with bulleted informational items. O. Long commented, as a historical perspective, the District was moved to Ventura several years ago, from Camarillo, for what was supposed to be a temporary situation.</p> <p>L. Kama'ila and M. Rees commented on Item 8.03, related to a \$5.5 million deficit that may not have been discussed outside of District Council on Administrative Services (DCAS). There was agreement to the suggestion that D. Keebler provide the Board with the same report that was previously provided in DCAS.</p> <p>G. Maehara reviewed Human Resources items and confirmed the effective date for salary increases in Item 10.03 is July 1, 2016. O. Long requested, on behalf of the Classified Confidential employees, that the group be included with the Classified employees related to the provisions contained in the agendized Tentative Agreements. B. Luskin asked G. Maehara to discuss this recommendation with M. Shanahan and advise.</p> <p>O. Long recognized the work of the Personnel Commission staff related to the reclassification</p>		

Agenda ItemAp	Summary of Discussion	Action	Assigned to:
	<p>studies on Item 10.05.</p> <p>G. Maehara commented on Items 10.06 and 10.07 and indicated the agendized items correct an error within the February 16, 2016 Board Meeting agenda. There was clarification that the original tenure track recommendation was not changed.</p> <p>There was a question about two faculty positions, Biology and Sociology, which are not agendized but have been through the approval process. Following the meeting, L. Bennett confirmed the positions will be agendized at the April 12, 2016 Board Meeting.</p> <p>There was a question related to Item 13.01, minutes from the February 16 Board meeting. P. Blair will update the minutes:</p> <p><b>12.02 Revised: Action to Approve Contract for Interim Chancellor.</b> The Chancellor recommends approval. Public comment was provided by Olivia Long.</p> <p>Trustee Blum proposed splitting the agendized motion into two separate motions:</p> <p>Trustee Blum made a motion, <u>to consider a newly created contract</u> extending Chancellor Luskin's current contract to February 1, 2017, ...</p> <p>Trustee Blum made a motion <u>to consider a newly created contract that increases</u> ...</p>		
<p><b>District Council on Accreditation and Planning (DCAP)</b></p>	<p>M. Rees indicated DCAP met and reviewed the Decision-Making Document and Integrated Planning Manual; the documents were presented to Consultation Council for information. O. Long recommended adding Classified Senates within the paragraph beginning with "Constituent agreement/disagreement/concern on Board items discussed in Consultation Council..." Classified staff support is critical and O. Long believes that</p>	<p>Consultation Council Members</p>	<p>Greg Gillespie Mary Rees</p>

Agenda ItemAp	Summary of Discussion	Action	Assigned to:
	<p>this group should be included. There was discussed related to “legally mandated groups.”</p> <p>The document will be agendized for modification at the next DCAP meeting. O. Long will be added to the agenda for participation.</p>		
<p><b>Board Policy/Procedure Review</b></p> <p><b>Five-Year Review Cycle</b></p>	<p>B. Luskin indicated the Policy, Legislative, and Communication Committee met and recommend a five-year review cycle; a revised Board policy will be agendized for formal recommendation during the March 9 Policy, Legislative, and Communication Meeting.</p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Board Evaluation Follow-up</b></li> <li>• <b>Board Evaluation Survey Results</b></li> </ul>	<p>M. Rees provided a brief summary of the results from the Board Evaluation survey. There was concern expressed that only 11 respondents participated in the survey. M. Rees indicated the results should be compiled and prepared for presentation during a future Board meeting. Consultation Council members discussed the need to change the survey in the future and how the current survey results be presented to the Board. There was a suggestion to offer a range rather than yes/no answers.</p>	<p>M Rees, G. Gillespie, and R. Post will prepare a summary to review with Consultation Council and then meet with the Ad Hoc Board Evaluation Committee for discussion.</p>	<p>B. Luskin</p>
<p><b>Future/Other Agenda Items</b></p>	<p>April 1: Decision-Making Handbook for suggested changes related to the Classified Senates; and “process” related to the Academic Senates.</p>		<p>Consultation Council Members</p>
<p><b>Future Consultation Council Meetings</b></p>	<p>April 1  April 29  June 3  July 1  July 29  September 2  September 30  October 28</p>		

<b>Agenda ItemAp</b>	<b>Summary of Discussion</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Assigned to:</b>
	December 2		