



VENTURA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

District Council of Administrative Services (DCAS)

September 19, 2019 – 9:00 a.m.
District Administrative Center, Thomas Lakin Board Room

AGENDA

- Approval of Meeting Notes – August 14, 2019
- Police Services FY20 Budget Update
- DCAS Committee Membership/Charge
- Full-time Faculty Obligation Number (FON)
- Allocation Model Timeline/Process
- Other Business
- Future Agenda Items

Next Meeting: October 17, 2019, 9:00 a.m.

VCCCD Production Database
Operating Ledger Detail Report
(P)rogram/(O)rg/(A)ccount Sort: 0

FYSODTL.sql 7.0
JCLARK

FY/Perd: 20 - 13 YTD/Curr: Y Fund: 124 Orgn: 82106 Acct: % Prog: % Rev? Y Ben? Y Atyp: %

Title	Fund	Orgn	Acct	Prog	Budget	YTD	Commit	Avail
Managers - Classified	124	82106	2010	677000	151,745.92	25,290.98	0.00	126,454.94
Managers - Other Compensation	124	82106	2020	677000	900.00	150.00	0.00	806,750.00
Classified Regular	124	82106	2121	677000	937,954.75	131,352.75	0.00	806,602.00
Classified - Overtime	124	82106	2322	677000	128,200.00	13,150.47	0.00	115,049.53
Class Jury & WC Abate/Sal Chg Backs	124	82106	2399	677000	90,000.00	3,850.56	0.00	86,149.44
Student Hourly-Non-Instructional	124	82106	2530	677000	223,800.00	30,087.56	0.00	193,712.44
Supervisors	124	82106	2610	677000	297,758.63	49,049.88	0.00	248,708.75
Supervisors - Overtime	124	82106	2622	677000	7,668.00	6,873.29	0.00	794.71
Provisional, Ltd Term-NonPos Cntrl	124	82106	2826	677000	88,600.00	11,800.93	0.00	76,799.07
Budget Holding/Variance-Classified	124	82106	2999	677000	39,071.00	0.00	0.00	39,071.00
PERS - Managers	124	82106	3200	677000	29,924.30	4,958.90	0.00	24,965.40
PERS - Classified	124	82106	3235	677000	184,964.70	25,904.06	0.00	159,060.64
PERS - Supervisors	124	82106	3260	677000	58,718.01	9,668.77	0.00	49,049.24
PERS - Board & Others-NonPos	124	82106	3281	677000	0.00	1,552.19	0.00	1,552.19
OASDI - Managers	124	82106	3300	677000	8,295.80	1,577.34	0.00	6,718.46
Medicare - Managers	124	82106	3305	677000	2,213.37	368.90	0.00	1,844.47
OASDI - Classified	124	82106	3335	677000	66,101.60	8,636.82	0.00	57,464.78
Medicare - Classified	124	82106	3365	677000	15,459.25	2,019.92	0.00	13,439.33
OASDI - Supervisors	124	82106	3368	677000	18,936.45	3,430.42	0.00	15,506.03
Medicare - Supervisors	124	82106	3369	677000	4,428.69	802.27	0.00	3,626.42
OASDI-Board & Others - NonPos	124	82106	3381	677000	5,493.20	731.66	0.00	4,761.54
Medicare-Board & Others - NonPos	124	82106	3386	677000	1,284.70	171.10	0.00	1,113.60
OASDI - Students	124	82106	3390	677000	0.00	1,444.47	0.00	1,444.47
Medicare - Students	124	82106	3395	677000	0.00	337.77	0.00	337.77
H/W - Managers	124	82106	3400	677000	18,544.08	3,090.68	0.00	15,453.40
H/W - Supervisors	124	82106	3426	677000	55,632.24	9,270.31	0.00	46,361.93
ICA - Classified	124	82106	3435	677000	220,281.12	30,532.16	0.00	189,748.96
ICA - Supervisors	124	82106	3465	677000	1,287.00	181.50	0.00	1,105.50
ICA - Managers	124	82106	3466	677000	297.00	49.49	0.00	247.51
Retiree Health Liab-Managers	124	82106	3491	677000	35,660.29	16.50	0.00	35,643.79
Retiree Health Liab-Classified	124	82106	3494	677000	220,419.38	5,909.12	0.00	214,510.26
Retiree Health Liab-Supervisors	124	82106	3495	677000	69,973.29	30,867.95	0.00	39,105.34
SUI - Managers	124	82106	3500	677000	76.32	12.64	0.00	63.68
SUI - Classified	124	82106	3535	677000	533.09	69.61	0.00	463.48
SUI - Supervisors	124	82106	3560	677000	152.71	27.43	0.00	125.28
SUI - Board & Others - NonPos	124	82106	3585	677000	44.30	5.90	0.00	38.40
WC - Managers	124	82106	3600	677000	2,594.98	430.02	0.00	2,164.96
WC - Classified	124	82106	3635	677000	15,945.24	2,146.70	0.00	13,798.54
WC - Students	124	82106	3650	677000	3,804.60	511.49	0.00	3,293.11
WC - Supervisors	124	82106	3660	677000	5,192.26	838.11	0.00	4,354.15
W/C - Board & Others - NonPos	124	82106	3685	677000	1,506.20	200.62	0.00	1,305.58
Budget Holding/Variance - Benefits	124	82106	3999	677000	35,998.86	0.00	0.00	35,998.86
Vehicle Operating Expense	124	82106	4700	677000	20,000.00	1,385.89	0.00	18,614.11
General Supplies & Materials	124	82106	4800	677000	25,190.68	8,971.11	0.00	16,219.57
Technical Assistance	124	82106	5130	677000	55,000.00	498.98	0.00	54,501.02
Training And Instruction	124	82106	5140	677000	1,830.00	0.00	0.00	1,830.00
Medical/Professional	124	82106	5150	677000	5,000.00	0.00	0.00	5,000.00
Other Personal And Consultant Servi	124	82106	5190	677000	16,000.00	3,513.00	0.00	12,487.00
Employee Travel	124	82106	5211	677000	2,000.00	0.00	0.00	2,000.00
Dues & Memberships	124	82106	5300	677000	410.00	0.00	0.00	410.00
Laundry & Dry Cleaning	124	82106	5560	677000	500.00	0.00	0.00	500.00
Rent/Lease-Other	124	82106	5619	677000	2,000.00	300.00	0.00	1,700.00
Maint/Repair-Equipment	124	82106	5622	677000	70,000.00	7,311.38	0.00	62,688.62
Software Maintenance & License Fee	124	82106	5641	677000	8,100.00	0.00	0.00	8,100.00
Other Contracted Services	124	82106	5649	677000	102,700.00	584.15	0.00	102,115.85
Bank Charges And Services Fees	124	82106	5815	677000	38,000.00	38,101.14	0.00	101.14
Merchant Credit Card Discount	124	82106	5816	677000	47,000.00	2,181.76	0.00	44,818.24
Printing And Duplicating	124	82106	5870	677000	2,500.00	2,177.87	0.00	322.13

FY/Perd: 20 - 13 YTD/Curr: Y Fund: 124 Orgn: 82106 Acct: % Prog: % Rev? Y Ben? Y Aty: %

Title	Fund	Orgn	Acct	Prog	Budget	YTD	Commit	Avail
Other Expense & Services	124	82106	5890	677000	0.00	0.00	25,000.00	25,000.00-
Building Improvements/Remodeling	124	82106	6250	677000	0.00	2,232.00	0.00	2,232.00-
Intrafund Transfer In/Out-Must = 0	124	82106	7350	731000	75,000.00-	0.00	0.00	75,000.00-
Parking Fees - Permits	124	82106	88811	000000	580,000.00-	442,052.25-	0.00	137,947.75-
Parking Fees - Coin/Daily	124	82106	88812	000000	672,000.00-	91,500.83-	0.00	580,499.17-
Parking Fees - Permits BOGW	124	82106	88813	000000	400,000.00-	48,420.00-	0.00	351,580.00-
Parking & Traffic Fines	124	82106	88910	000000	355,000.00-	61,538.18-	0.00	293,461.82-
Other Local Income	124	82106	88990	000000	2,500.00-	20.00-	0.00	2,480.00-
Interfund Transfers In - Must=7300	124	82106	89820	000000	1,164,768.00-	0.00	0.00	1,164,768.00-
Police Services	124	*Tot*			16,523.01	155,179.96-	230,407.64	58,704.67-
**** Report Total					16,523.01	155,179.96-	230,407.64	58,704.67-

Sort: J FYR: 2020 BudID: FY20 BudPhase: POSCTL Sal Fund: 124 Sal Org: % Sal Acct: % Sal Prog: %

ID Number	Employee Name/Posn/Title	Fund	Orgn	Acct	Prog	Budg%	Fringe Total	Sal Budget
	---Fringes 1/6/11---						---Fringes 5/10/15---	
		124	82106	2010	677000	100.00	97,323.54	151,745.92
DDE-3400	1,278.24 FME-3305	2,200.32	FOA-3300	8,240.00	HR2-3491	22,761.89	HRL-3491	12,898.40
LML-3469	99.00 MBT-3400	17,068.20	MVI-3400	197.64	RPP-3200	29,924.30	RSF-3110	0.00
SUI-3500	75.87 SWC-3600	2,579.68		0.00		0.00		0.00
	Subtotal:						97,323.54	151,745.92
VACANT		124	82106	2121	677000	100.00	52,065.20	63,516.00
WCU001- Cc Police Offcr. I								
DDE-3435	1,278.24 FME-3365	920.98	FOA-3335	3,937.99	HR2-3494	9,527.40	HRL-3494	5,398.86
LML-3465	99.00 MBC-3435	17,068.20	MVI-3435	197.64	RPR-3235	12,525.36	SUI-3535	31.76
SWC-3635	1,079.77	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
		124	82106	2121	677000	100.00	61,575.82	81,590.14
DDE-3435	1,278.24 FME-3365	1,183.06	FOA-3335	5,058.59	HR2-3494	12,238.52	HRL-3494	6,935.16
LML-3465	99.00 MBC-3435	17,068.20	MVI-3435	197.64	RPR-3235	16,089.58	SUI-3535	40.80
SWC-3635	1,387.03	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
		124	82106	2121	677000	100.00	60,027.67	78,648.00
WCU003-00 Cc Police Offcr. I								
DDE-3435	1,278.24 FME-3365	1,140.40	FOA-3335	4,876.18	HR2-3494	11,797.20	HRL-3494	6,685.08
LML-3465	99.00 MBC-3435	17,068.20	MVI-3435	197.64	RPR-3235	15,509.39	SUI-3535	39.32
SWC-3635	1,337.02	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
		124	82106	2121	677000	100.00	49,747.81	59,112.00
WCU003-00 Cc Police Offcr. I								
DDE-3435	1,278.24 FME-3365	857.12	FOA-3335	3,664.94	HR2-3494	8,866.80	HRL-3494	5,024.52
LML-3465	99.00 MBC-3435	17,068.20	MVI-3435	197.64	RPP-3235	11,656.89	SUI-3535	29.56
SWC-3635	1,004.90	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
		124	82106	2121	677000	100.00	41,483.59	78,648.00
WCU003-00 Cc Police Offcr. I								
FME-3365	1,140.40 FOA-3335	4,876.18	HR2-3494	11,797.20	HRL-3494	6,685.08	LML-3465	99.00
RPR-3235	15,509.39 SUI-3535	39.32	SWC-3635	1,337.02		0.00		0.00
	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
		124	82106	2121	677000	100.00	59,525.89	79,830.32
WCU003-00 Cc Police Offcr. I								
DDE-3435	1,278.24 FME-3365	1,157.54	FOA-3335	4,949.48	HR2-3494	11,974.55	HRL-3494	6,785.58
LML-3465	99.00 MKT-3435	16,141.92	RPR-3235	15,742.54	SUI-3535	39.92	SWC-3635	1,357.12
	0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
		124	82106	2121	677000	100.00	56,504.21	71,952.00
WCU003-00 Cc Police Offcr. I								
DDE-3435	1,278.24 FME-3365	1,043.30	FOA-3335	4,461.02	HR2-3494	10,792.80	HRL-3494	6,115.92
LML-3465	99.00 MBC-3435	17,068.20	MVI-3435	197.64	RPP-3235	14,188.93	SUI-3535	35.98
SWC-3635	1,223.18	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
		124	82106	2121	677000	100.00	55,828.59	70,668.00
VACANT								
WCU011- Cc Police Offcr. II								
DDE-3435	1,278.24 FME-3365	1,024.69	FOA-3335	4,381.42	HR2-3494	10,600.20	HRL-3494	6,006.78
LML-3465	99.00 MBC-3435	17,068.20	MVI-3435	197.64	RPR-3235	13,935.73	SUI-3535	35.33
SWC-3635	1,201.36	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00

Budget Holding Reconciliations

**x999 Budgets
FY20 ADOPTION**

	Org	Amount	Reason	% of Salary
2999				
	124-82106	234,983.00	Hold for 3 new Police Officer I positions	
		(15,879.00)	Vacancy WCU001 Police Offcr I - 3 mo	
		(53,001.00)	Vacancy WCU011 Police Offcr II (Sarg.) - 9 mo	
		(127,032.00)	Vacancy posn TBD - 2 new CC Police Ofcr I positions vacant all year	
		<u>39,071.00</u>		
3999				
	124-82106	195,017.00	Hold for 3 new Police Officers I positions	
		(13,016.30)	Vacancy WCU001 Police Offcr I - 3 mo & a little	
		(41,871.44)	Vacancy WCU011 Police Offcr II (Sarg.) - 9 mo	
		(104,130.40)	Vacancy posn TBD - 2 new CC Police Ofcr I positions vacant all year	
		<u>35,998.86</u>		
Amount out of	\$ 430,000	DW contribution for 3 additional officers offset with vacancy 'savings'		\$ 354,930
		Add'tl budget (in excess of FY19) applied toward cadet salaries/benefits		\$ 50,307
		<u>TOTAL</u>		<u>\$ 405,237</u>

7/16/2019

DCAS Meeting - 09.19.2019
Page 6 of 20

REVENUES							
Rentals & Leases (Civic Center)	88500	(3,698)					
Parking Fees - Permits	88811	(585,318)	(600,690)	(611,284)	(593,152)	(612,861)	(618,124)
Parking Fees - Coin/Daily	88812	(672,959)	(761,646)	(811,664)	(788,163)	(831,026)	(767,816)
Parking Fees - Permits BOGW	88813	(395,865)	(428,287)	(424,275)	(451,356)	(449,654)	(428,405)
Parking & Traffic Fines	88910	(220,681)	(309,686)	(379,058)	(352,275)	(424,402)	(380,192)
Vehicle Impound Fees	88911				(300)	(150)	(450)
Parking & Traffic Fines-REFUNDS	88912	460	1,370	1,843	1,842	2,243	2,825
Sale Of Equipment & Supplies	89120						(4,560)
Other Local Income	88990	(213)	(10,147)	(320)	(335)	(153)	(285)
Interfund transfer	89820	(734,768)	(654,768)	(654,768)	(654,768)	(644,584)	(639,151)
		(2,613,042)	(2,763,854)	(2,879,526)	(2,838,507)	(2,960,587)	(2,836,157)
Deficit/(Surplus)		109,666	151,081	309,217	157,264	(46,385)	90,759
Ending Fund Balance		-	121,823	272,904	582,208	739,472	693,087

* Intrafund transfer to/from Parking Lots (124-82144)

District Council on Administrative Services

The District Council of Administrative Services (DCAS) recommends to the Chancellor through the Cabinet and the District Consultation Council on budget policy, development, and implementation, including, but not limited to, the District allocation model, business policies, and procedures.

Members understand that they attend meetings to represent constituent groups from a College or the District Administrative Center. In this role, members formulate recommendations to the Chancellor through consultation and are responsible to serve as a conduit for information and the catalyst for discussion on topics raised at the District group and within the constituent group. These topics include, but are not limited to, the specific areas outlined in state law and regulation.

District budget office staff provides support to DCAS.

Chair: Vice Chancellor, Business and Administrative Services

Co-Chair: Faculty Co-Chair

Members: One AFT Representative
One SEIU Representative
One College Chief Instructional Officer or College Chief Student Services Officer (appointed by the Chancellor)

From Each College:
Chief Business Officer
Academic Senate President or designee
Classified Senate President or designee
Student Representative, as appointed by Associated Student Government

Typically, this committee meets monthly.



California Community Colleges

MEMORANDUM

August 28, 2019

FS 19-06 | Via Email

TO: Chief Executive Officers
Chief Human Resources Officers
Chief Business Officers
Chief Instructional Officers

FROM: Christian Osmeña
Vice Chancellor, College Finance and Facilities Planning

RE: Revised Fall 2019 Full-Time Faculty Obligation Number

Background

Existing regulations require community college districts to increase its base number of full-time faculty over the prior year in proportion to the amount of growth in funded credit full-time equivalent students (FTES). An additional increase to the base number of full-time faculty is required when funds are provided specifically for the purpose of increasing the full-time faculty percentage. These regulations also provide the definition and rules for calculating full-time equivalent faculty (FTEF) attributable to full-time and part-time faculty.

Full-Time Faculty Obligation Fall 2019 and Compliance Report

At its November 2018 meeting, the Board of Governors determined that funds provided in the 2018 Budget Act were adequate to implement the FON for Fall 2019. Table 1: Fall 2019 Compliance FON shows by district the compliance full-time faculty obligation for Fall 2019, calculated as the lower of 1) the projected FON at the advance apportionment (AD FON) or 2) the FON calculated at the second principal apportionment (P2 FON).

The P2 FON calculation shown in Table 2: Fall 2019 Compliance FON Calculation is revised from the calculation distributed at the Chancellor's Office Annual Budget Workshop in

Chancellor's Office, College Finance and Facilities Planning Division

1102 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95811 | Sixth Floor | 916.445.8752
www.CaliforniaCommunityColleges.cccco.edu

Full-Time Faculty Obligation Number

August 28, 2019

order to incorporate updated FTES and apply a deficit factor to the funded credit FTES for those districts for whom the adjusted TCR at the second principal apportionment was less than the calculated 2018-19 TCR.

The updated FTES used to calculate the 2018-19 P2 FON will not agree to the 2018-19 P2 FTES displayed on the Exhibit C at the P2 apportionment. The P2 Exhibit C reflected an error by which districts were apportioned funds for FTES above their growth targets. The updated FTES has been reduced to no more than the district's growth target.

In addition, the revised P2 FON calculation maintains the application of a deficit factor to the 2018-19 P2 funded credit FTES. To determine each district's individual deficit factor, the 2018-19 P2 total available revenue was divided by the 2018-19 P2 total computational revenue. These calculations are shown in Table 3: Fall 2019 P2 FON Deficit Factor.

Action Requested

Districts are required to report actual full-time and part-time faculty data annually to the Chancellor's Office. Please complete and return the attached Full-Time Faculty Obligation Compliance Form for Fall 2019 to fiscalstandards@cccco.edu on or before November 15, 2019.

If you have any questions or need more information, please contact fiscalstandards@cccco.edu.

Attachments

Table 1: Fall 2019 Compliance FON

Table 2: Fall 2019 P2 FON Calculation

Table 3: Fall 2019 P2 FON Deficit Factor

Full-Time Faculty Obligation Compliance Form

Table 1: Fall 2019 Compliance FON

California Community Colleges Full-Time Faculty Obligation Fall 2019 Compliance				
District	Fall 2018 Compliance	2018-19 Advance	2018-19 P-2	Fall 2019 Compliance
Allan Hancock	140.6	152.0	149.6	149.6
Antelope Valley	148.4	171.0	143.4	143.4
Barstow	30.3	33.0	30.3	30.3
Butte	156.5	176.0	157.5	157.5
Cabrillo	183.8	198.0	180.8	180.8
Cerritos	279.0	308.0	263.0	263.0
Chabot-Las Positas	305.0	318.0	279.0	279.0
Chaffey	243.6	258.0	240.6	240.6
Citrus	176.0	186.0	166.0	166.0
Coast	431.9	451.0	423.9	423.9
Compton	28.0	31.0	30.0	30.0
Contra Costa	369.0	395.0	340.0	340.0
Copper Mt.	10.7	10.0	9.7	9.7
Desert	121.8	144.0	114.8	114.8
El Camino	341.0	357.0	336.0	336.0
Feather River	18.3	18.0	17.3	17.3
Foothill-DeAnza	383.6	448.0	376.6	376.6
Gavilan	74.5	74.0	75.5	74.0
Glendale	201.1	236.0	211.1	211.1
Grossmont-Cuyamaca	307.5	324.0	298.5	298.5
Hartnell	111.0	114.0	107.0	107.0
Imperial	100.1	109.0	103.1	103.1
Kern	437.8	471.0	439.8	439.8
Lake Tahoe	19.6	19.0	18.6	18.6
Lassen	17.0	21.0	14.0	14.0
Long Beach	322.0	370.0	339.0	339.0
Los Angeles	1,560.8	1,731.0	1,536.8	1,536.8
Los Rios	834.1	1,010.0	1,000.1	1,000.1
Marin	60.5	77.0	57.5	57.5
Mendocino-Lake	36.7	46.0	43.7	43.7
Merced	174.7	189.0	169.7	169.7
Mira Costa	155.2	176.0	152.2	152.2
Monterey Peninsula	111.7	121.0	115.7	115.7
Mt. San Antonio	420.1	447.0	443.1	443.1
Mt. San Jacinto	134.6	168.0	152.6	152.6
Napa Valley	86.4	94.0	84.4	84.4
North Orange County	528.2	651.0	562.2	562.2
Ohlone	100.6	123.0	117.6	117.6
Palo Verde	23.4	25.0	23.4	23.4
Palomar	292.1	310.0	282.1	282.1
Pasadena Area	427.4	448.0	440.4	440.4
Peralta	322.9	354.0	302.9	302.9
Rancho Santiago	371.4	381.0	364.4	364.4
Redwoods	55.2	75.0	60.2	60.2
Rio Hondo	205.8	225.0	221.8	221.8
Riverside	404.4	439.0	426.4	426.4

Table 1: Fall 2019 Compliance FON

California Community Colleges Full-Time Faculty Obligation Fall 2019 Compliance					
District	Fall 2018 Compliance	2018-19 Advance	2018-19 P-2	Fall 2019 Compliance	
San Bernardino	235.4	249.0	238.4	238.4	
San Diego	564.0	595.0	543.0	543.0	
San Francisco	195.1	294.0	214.1	214.1	
San Joaquin Delta	206.1	248.0	222.1	222.1	
San Jose-Evergreen	187.8	215.0	197.8	197.8	
San Luis Obispo	126.2	138.0	112.2	112.2	
San Mateo	279.7	329.0	279.7	279.7	
Santa Barbara	211.1	254.0	213.1	213.1	
Santa Clarita	214.7	229.0	219.7	219.7	
Santa Monica	237.6	308.0	268.6	268.6	
Sequoias	193.3	216.0	203.3	203.3	
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity	112.3	126.0	113.3	113.3	
Sierra	205.7	215.0	186.7	186.7	
Siskiyou	29.1	39.0	24.1	24.1	
Solano	141.8	157.0	115.8	115.8	
Sonoma County	280.6	298.0	291.6	291.6	
South Orange	386.8	445.0	390.8	390.8	
Southwestern	245.2	275.0	257.2	257.2	
State Center	541.3	575.0	570.3	570.3	
Ventura	416.8	437.0	403.8	403.8	
Victor Valley	129.0	138.0	127.0	127.0	
West Hills	87.6	93.0	82.6	82.6	
West Kern	58.7	65.0	63.7	63.7	
West Valley-Mission	246.1	274.0	212.1	212.1	
Yosemite	290.2	305.0	280.2	280.2	
Yuba	98.1	103.0	99.1	99.1	
Statewide Total	17,184.3	19,102.0	17,352.3	17,350.7	

Table 2: Fall 2019 P2 FON Calculation

District	Base FON (2017-18 Recal FON)	Base Credit FTES: 2017-18 R1 FTES	Funded Credit FTES: 2018-19 P2 Funded Credit FTES	2018-19 P2 (1 - Deficit Factor)	Funded Credit FTES adjusted for Deficit Factor	Change in FTES Growth (Decline)	Percent Change (Change in FTES/Base Credit FTES)	FTES Adjustment	Final Allocation of 2018-19 Full-Time Faculty Hiring Funds	2018-19 Full-Time Faculty Hiring Funds Adjustment	Fall 2019 P2 FON
	a	b	c	d	e = (c * d)	f = (e - b)	g = (f/b)	h = (a * g)	i	j = (i /\$77,063)	k = (a + h + j)
Allan Hancock	127.6	7,860	8,984	99.89%	8,974	1,114	14.17%	18	379,934	4	149.6
Antelope Valley	149.4	10,527	10,969	88.37%	9,693	(834)	-7.92%	(12)	471,536	6	143.4
Barstow	28.3	2,321	2,552	94.80%	2,419	99	4.26%	1	104,406	1	30.3
Butte	155.5	9,162	9,162	98.39%	9,015	(147)	-1.61%	(3)	461,204	5	157.5
Cabrillo	158.8	8,792	9,763	100.00%	9,763	972	11.05%	17	397,252	5	180.8
Cerritos	280.0	16,254	15,925	92.80%	14,778	(1,476)	-9.08%	(26)	746,202	9	263.0
Chabot-Las Positas	330.0	18,652	15,240	100.00%	15,240	(3,412)	-18.29%	(61)	836,790	10	279.0
Chaffey	216.6	14,222	16,393	93.40%	15,312	1,090	7.67%	16	649,702	8	240.6
Citrus	179.0	11,618	11,054	94.37%	10,432	(1,186)	-10.21%	(19)	533,632	6	166.0
Coast	432.9	32,277	30,456	99.52%	30,309	(1,968)	-6.10%	(27)	1,449,179	18	423.9
Compton	28.0	5,962	5,958	100.00%	5,958	(4)	-0.07%	(1)	265,630	3	30.0
Contra Costa	378.0	29,269	25,137	100.00%	25,137	(4,132)	-14.12%	(54)	1,306,690	16	340.0
Copper Mt.	10.7	1,432	1,453	96.20%	1,398	(34)	-2.40%	(1)	67,610	0	9.7
Desert	137.8	9,399	8,249	90.92%	7,501	(1,898)	-20.19%	(28)	450,405	5	114.8
El Camino	346.0	19,588	18,480	99.81%	18,444	(1,143)	-5.84%	(21)	872,500	11	336.0
Feather River	19.3	1,599	1,492	99.74%	1,489	(111)	-6.93%	(2)	72,790	0	17.3
Foothill-DeAnza	383.6	23,962	22,677	100.00%	22,677	(1,285)	-5.36%	(21)	1,087,522	14	376.6
Gavilan	63.5	4,107	4,812	100.00%	4,812	706	17.18%	10	209,041	2	75.5
Glendale	201.1	11,027	11,143	100.00%	11,143	117	1.06%	2	619,873	8	211.1
Grossmont-Cuyamaca	281.5	17,161	17,730	99.60%	17,660	499	2.91%	8	763,126	9	298.5
Hartnell	111.0	7,356	7,323	93.76%	6,867	(489)	-6.65%	(8)	326,873	4	107.0
Imperial	109.1	7,347	7,346	91.66%	6,733	(614)	-8.36%	(10)	328,811	4	103.1
Kern	454.8	22,476	22,702	93.22%	21,164	(1,312)	-5.84%	(27)	1,001,192	12	439.8
Lake Tahoe	18.6	1,629	1,661	99.97%	1,661	32	1.95%	-	74,571	0	18.6
Lassen	16.0	1,342	1,473	85.04%	1,253	(89)	-6.65%	(2)	60,296	0	14.0
Long Beach	323.0	18,389	18,747	100.00%	18,747	359	1.95%	6	839,358	10	339.0
Los Angeles	1,523.8	93,054	90,769	99.59%	90,401	(2,653)	-2.85%	(44)	4,443,839	57	1,536.8
Los Rios	830.1	44,183	52,019	99.85%	51,940	7,757	17.56%	145	1,968,305	25	1,000.1
Marin	62.5	3,440	3,090	100.00%	3,090	(350)	-10.17%	(7)	165,436	2	57.5
Mendocino-Lake	36.7	2,370	2,803	100.00%	2,803	433	18.29%	6	109,098	1	43.7
Merced	172.7	8,379	8,599	93.49%	8,039	(340)	-4.06%	(8)	410,977	5	169.7
Mira Costa	163.2	10,274	9,250	99.58%	9,211	(1,063)	-10.35%	(17)	490,115	6	152.2
Monterey Peninsula	112.7	5,956	5,969	100.00%	5,969	12	0.21%	-	278,689	3	115.7
Mt. San Antonio	429.1	24,977	24,865	99.58%	24,760	(217)	-0.87%	(4)	1,453,372	18	443.1
Mt. San Jacinto	134.6	10,195	11,454	97.45%	11,163	967	9.49%	12	479,938	6	152.6
Napa Valley	85.4	4,750	4,598	99.99%	4,597	(152)	-3.21%	(3)	229,826	2	84.4
North Orange County	528.2	27,208	28,054	100.00%	28,054	846	3.11%	16	1,441,228	18	562.2
Ohlone	100.6	6,833	7,850	100.00%	7,850	1,017	14.88%	14	303,530	3	117.6
Palo Verde	25.4	2,052	1,904	95.21%	1,812	(239)	-11.66%	(3)	96,198	1	23.4
Palomar	291.1	18,450	17,226	100.00%	17,226	(1,224)	-6.63%	(20)	850,561	11	282.1
Pasadena Area	430.4	22,802	22,816	99.35%	22,668	(134)	-0.59%	(3)	1,065,528	13	440.4
Peralta	329.9	18,685	16,601	100.00%	16,601	(2,083)	-11.15%	(37)	835,143	10	302.9
Rancho Santiago	380.4	23,302	21,492	99.54%	21,393	(1,909)	-8.19%	(32)	1,304,941	16	364.4
Redwoods	59.2	3,477	3,470	99.55%	3,455	(23)	-0.65%	(1)	162,035	2	60.2
Rio Hondo	220.8	12,782	12,504	99.57%	12,451	(331)	-2.59%	(6)	589,666	7	221.8

Table 2: Fall 2019 P2 FON Calculation

District	Base FON (2017-18 Recal FON)	Base Credit FTES: 2017-18 R1 FTES	Funded Credit FTES: 2018-19 P2 Funded Credit FTES	2018-19 P2 (1 - Deficit Factor)	Funded Credit FTES adjusted for Deficit Factor	Change in FTES Growth (Decline)	Percent Change (Change in FTES/Base Credit FTES)	FTES Adjustment	Final Allocation of 2018-19 Full-Time Faculty Hiring Funds	2018-19 Full-Time Faculty Hiring Funds Adjustment	Fall 2019 P2 FON
District	a	b	c	d	e = (c * d)	f = (e - b)	g = (f/b)	h = (a * g)	i	j = (i /\$77,063)	k = (a + h + j)
Riverside	427.4	30,445	29,803	98.06%	29,224	(1,222)	-4.01%	(18)	1,356,306	17	426.4
San Bernardino	235.4	15,066	15,075	97.88%	14,755	(312)	-2.07%	(5)	679,783	8	238.4
San Diego	562.0	35,116	32,510	99.87%	32,468	(2,648)	-7.54%	(43)	1,922,450	24	543.0
San Francisco	197.1	16,031	16,460	100.00%	16,460	429	2.68%	5	990,906	12	214.1
San Joaquin Delta	229.1	15,730	14,733	99.66%	14,683	(1,047)	-6.65%	(16)	706,249	9	222.1
San Jose-Evergreen	187.8	11,716	12,056	99.53%	11,998	283	2.41%	4	529,156	6	197.8
San Luis Obispo	127.2	7,933	6,801	100.00%	6,801	(1,132)	-14.27%	(19)	374,535	4	112.2
San Mateo	279.7	15,681	15,232	100.00%	15,232	(449)	-2.86%	(9)	698,364	9	279.7
Santa Barbara	212.1	11,661	11,437	99.50%	11,380	(281)	-2.41%	(6)	552,807	7	213.1
Santa Clarita	218.7	16,309	15,732	100.00%	15,732	(576)	-3.53%	(8)	742,433	9	219.7
Santa Monica	235.6	17,871	19,636	100.00%	19,636	1,765	9.88%	23	827,456	10	268.6
Sequoias	204.3	9,685	9,708	96.87%	9,404	(282)	-2.91%	(6)	459,162	5	203.3
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity	105.3	6,089	6,820	94.29%	6,430	341	5.60%	5	277,951	3	113.3
Sierra	212.7	14,916	12,538	100.00%	12,538	(2,379)	-15.95%	(34)	676,433	8	186.7
Siskiyou	29.1	1,780	1,422	99.79%	1,419	(361)	-20.30%	(6)	108,998	1	24.1
Solano	143.8	7,907	6,151	100.00%	6,151	(1,756)	-22.21%	(32)	354,764	4	115.8
Sonoma County	280.6	16,239	16,239	100.00%	16,239	-	0.00%	-	863,978	11	291.6
South Orange	387.8	23,956	23,248	100.00%	23,248	(708)	-2.96%	(12)	1,198,207	15	390.8
Southwestern	225.2	13,083	14,540	100.00%	14,540	1,457	11.13%	25	591,549	7	257.2
State Center	579.3	31,059	29,863	99.24%	29,637	(1,422)	-4.58%	(27)	1,402,208	18	570.3
Ventura	424.8	26,548	24,972	97.40%	24,323	(2,225)	-8.38%	(36)	1,184,531	15	403.8
Victor Valley	129.0	9,561	9,596	94.74%	9,091	(470)	-4.92%	(7)	428,205	5	127.0
West Hills	89.6	5,384	5,376	89.56%	4,815	(569)	-10.57%	(10)	255,406	3	82.6
West Kern	63.7	2,827	2,846	97.97%	2,789	(38)	-1.36%	(1)	125,708	1	63.7
West Valley-Mission	235.1	11,473	10,022	100.00%	10,022	(1,451)	-12.65%	(30)	562,882	7	212.1
Yosemite	290.2	16,104	15,515	97.19%	15,079	(1,025)	-6.37%	(19)	736,290	9	280.2
Yuba	97.1	7,425	7,475	97.66%	7,300	(125)	-1.69%	(2)	338,733	4	99.1
Statewide Total	17,266.3	1,054,496	1,038,023	98.56%	1,023,455	(31,041)	-2.94%	-521	50,000,000	607	17,352.3

Table 3: Fall 2019 P2 FON Deficit Factor

California Community Colleges					
Full-Time Faculty Obligation					
Fall 2019 P2 Deficit Factor					
District	2018-19 P2		2018-19 P2	2018-19 P2	
	Total Available Revenue		TCR	(1 - Deficit Factor)	
	a		b	c = a/b	
Allan Hancock	\$	60,481,653	\$	60,548,576	99.89%
Antelope Valley		67,284,548		76,141,904	88.37%
Barstow		20,075,262		21,177,178	94.80%
Butte		63,261,932		64,294,230	98.39%
Cabrillo		62,721,594		62,721,594	100.00%
Cerritos		100,419,660		108,211,647	92.80%
Chabot-Las Positas		110,597,916		110,597,916	100.00%
Chaffey		100,658,412		107,765,923	93.40%
Citrus		73,222,974		77,590,582	94.37%
Coast		196,039,103		196,991,294	99.52%
Compton		36,436,547		36,436,547	100.00%
Contra Costa		174,860,154		174,860,154	100.00%
Copper Mt.		13,874,891		14,422,574	96.20%
Desert		63,013,324		69,302,887	90.92%
El Camino		118,778,928		119,006,751	99.81%
Feather River		14,260,869		14,297,624	99.74%
Foothill-DeAnza		151,922,001		151,922,001	100.00%
Gavilan		33,146,984		33,146,984	100.00%
Glendale		90,610,396		90,610,396	100.00%
Grossmont-Cuyamaca		115,631,262		116,092,990	99.60%
Hartnell		46,352,947		49,436,770	93.76%
Imperial		46,171,544		50,374,704	91.66%
Kern		145,711,653		156,304,807	93.22%
Lake Tahoe		14,730,342		14,734,540	99.97%
Lassen		14,600,728		17,169,530	85.04%
Long Beach		122,347,064		122,347,064	100.00%
Los Angeles		640,762,906		643,368,881	99.59%
Los Rios		315,615,473		316,096,891	99.85%
Marin		26,300,883		26,300,883	100.00%
Mendocino-Lake		23,042,131		23,042,131	100.00%
Merced		60,711,541		64,940,287	93.49%
Mira Costa		67,256,956		67,537,927	99.58%
Monterey Peninsula		39,942,761		39,942,761	100.00%
Mt. San Antonio		186,834,576		187,627,396	99.58%
Mt. San Jacinto		76,853,267		78,863,307	97.45%
Napa Valley		32,492,055		32,494,147	99.99%
North Orange County		207,531,995		207,531,995	100.00%
Ohlone		50,085,990		50,085,990	100.00%
Palo Verde		17,878,024		18,777,025	95.21%
Palomar		111,490,107		111,490,107	100.00%

Table 3: Fall 2019 P2 FON Deficit Factor

California Community Colleges			
Full-Time Faculty Obligation			
Fall 2019 P2 Deficit Factor			
District	2018-19 P2 Total Available Revenue	2018-19 P2 TCR	2018-19 P2 (1 - Deficit Factor)
	a	b	c = a/b
Pasadena Area	144,496,721	145,442,859	99.35%
Peralta	117,782,211	117,782,211	100.00%
Rancho Santiago	175,089,050	175,901,803	99.54%
Redwoods	28,539,649	28,668,254	99.55%
Rio Hondo	78,888,266	79,225,124	99.57%
Riverside	186,686,371	190,385,650	98.06%
San Bernardino	95,688,857	97,764,795	97.88%
San Diego	255,048,229	255,376,409	99.87%
San Francisco	131,835,157	131,835,157	100.00%
San Joaquin Delta	95,021,572	95,344,792	99.66%
San Jose-Evergreen	75,075,818	75,433,486	99.53%
San Luis Obispo	50,399,559	50,399,559	100.00%
San Mateo	100,953,254	100,953,254	100.00%
Santa Barbara	77,347,158	77,737,133	99.50%
Santa Clarita	97,008,145	97,008,145	100.00%
Santa Monica	133,439,383	133,439,383	100.00%
Sequoias	65,973,088	68,105,567	96.87%
Shasta-Tehama-Trinity	44,907,041	47,628,025	94.29%
Sierra	88,915,754	88,915,754	100.00%
Siskiyou	18,925,550	18,965,361	99.79%
Solano	49,841,121	49,841,121	100.00%
Sonoma County	109,817,839	109,817,839	100.00%
South Orange	156,810,869	156,810,869	100.00%
Southwestern	95,122,607	95,122,607	100.00%
State Center	194,671,949	196,153,191	99.24%
Ventura	165,300,501	169,709,242	97.40%
Victor Valley	58,832,442	62,101,782	94.74%
West Hills	40,453,049	45,166,533	89.56%
West Kern	26,330,663	26,875,465	97.97%
West Valley-Mission	75,368,121	75,368,121	100.00%
Yosemite	102,763,182	105,738,707	97.19%
Yuba	53,279,507	54,557,069	97.66%
Statewide Total	\$ 7,104,624,036	\$ 7,208,182,162	98.56%

**California Community Colleges
Full-Time Faculty Obligation
Fall 2019 Compliance Report**

Community College District:

- 1) Total full-time equivalent faculty (FTEF) attributable to instructional and noninstructional Full-Time Faculty based on Title 5 Sections 53302 and 53309 _____
- 2) Total FTEF attributable to instructional and noninstructional Part-Time Faculty based on Title 5 Sections 53301 and 53310 _____
- 3) Total FTEF for Full-Time Faculty and Part-Time Faculty (line 1 + line 2) _____
- 4) Percentage of FTEF attributable to Full-Time Faculty (line 1/line 3) _____
- 5) Fall 2019 Full-Time Faculty Obligation
(see "Fall 2019 Compliance" on table "Fall 2019 Compliance") _____
- 6) Difference between Full-time Faculty Obligation and Total Full-Time faculty
(line 1 minus line 5) _____

To the extent that the required number of full-time faculty have not been retained for a fiscal year, the Chancellor is required to reduce a district's revenue for the fiscal year by an amount equal to the average replacement cost for the fiscal year multiplied by the deficiency in the number of equivalent full-time faculty.

If a district has incurred a penalty, the Chancellor's Office will provide further information and issue an invoice to the district for the penalty amount.

The average replacement cost of a Full-Time Faculty for 2019 is \$80,250.

Estimated Full-Time Faculty Obligation Penalty for Fall 2019
(negative value on line 6 x average replacement cost)

I hereby certify that the information above is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

SIGNED:

District Chief Executive Officer Date

District Contact

Name

Email

Phone

Please complete and return this form to fiscalstandards@cccco.edu by November 15, 2019.

**VCCCD Budget Development
Parallel Process for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020–21**

Year	Month	Traditional Budget Development	Student Centered Funding Formula (SCFF) Budget Development Proposed DCAS Discussion Timeline
	Sep	Board of Trustees approve the Adoption budget.	• Discuss College Allocation components • Discuss Districtwide Support components
Timeline for FY 2020-21 Budget			
	Oct	District Council on Administrative Services (DCAS) reviews General Fund Allocation Model and Infrastructure Funding Model to consider the need for modifications.	• Discuss College Allocation components • Discuss Districtwide Support components
	Nov/Dec	Vice Chancellor and District Budget Officer estimate revenue and inflationary costs in upcoming and subsequent budget years to identify gaps. Vice Chancellor provides analysis of projected revenues and increases in costs to DCAS for revenue and deliberation of targeted FTES, expenditure reductions or increases, and consideration of managed use or increase of reserves. Colleges and district office receive preliminary allocations for the upcoming fiscal year based on the budget allocation models and begin preliminary budget plans.	• Final draft of College Allocation components language presented • Discuss Districtwide Support, Revenue and Carryover components (Budget Allocation Model) • Discuss Revenue Categories (Infrastructure Model)
January: Governor's Proposed Budget			
2020	Jan	Vice Chancellor and district/college budget officers review Governor's Initial Budget Proposal and refine budget projections. Provide an update to DCAS.	• Final draft of Districtwide Support, Revenue and Carryover components (Budget Allocation Model) language presented • Discuss Allocation Basis and Rates, Expenditure Categories and Carryover (Infrastructure Model)
	Feb	Board of Trustees reviews the Governor's Initial Budget Proposal and district budget projections and provides strategic direction. Vice Chancellor and district/college officers draft budget assumptions and submit to DCAS for consideration and recommendation to Board.	• Final draft of Districtwide Resource Budget Allocation Model reviewed prior to presentation to Board • Discuss Allocation Basis and Rates, Expenditure Categories and Carryover (Infrastructure Model) • Final draft of Infrastructure Funding Model reviewed prior to presentation to Board
March Board Meeting: Changes to Districtwide Resource Allocation Model reviewed prior to presentation to Board			

Formatted: List Paragraph, Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.15", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

**VCCCD Budget Development
Parallel Process for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21**

Year	Month	Traditional Budget Development	Student Centered Funding Formula (SCFF) Budget Development Proposed DCAS Discussion Timeline
Beginning of April: Budget Year 2020-21 opened in Banner Late-April: Preliminary targets to colleges for Fund 111 Tentative Budget			
	Mar/Apr	Board of Trustees approve budget assumptions.	→
		Colleges and district office receive allocation for tentative budget based on the allocation models and build site-specific tentative budgets. DCAS receives an update.	→
Mid-May: Governor's May Revise to Proposed Budget			
	May	Vice Chancellor and district/college budget officers compare Governor's May Revise to district budget projections and make adjustments and provide DCAS with an update. DCAS reviews Tentative Budget and recommends to Board.	→
June 15: Legislature finalizes State Budget and submits to Governor for signature			
	Jun	Board of Trustees approves the Tentative budget.	→
	Jul/Aug	Vice Chancellor and district/college budget officers compare signed State budget to district budget projections and make adjustments. Colleges and district office receive final allocations for the upcoming fiscal year based on the allocation models, analyze year-end results, incorporate these results into local planning processes, and build a site-specific adoption budget. DCAS reviews Adoption Budget and recommends through Board.	→
	Sep	Board of Trustees approve the Adoption budget.	→

Complete list of ideas for consideration when reviewing Allocation Model (as of October 5, 2017):

- A college is growing, but the others colleges are benefiting from growth, but the expense of growth is on the growing college.
- A college is shrinking, but are they bearing the cost of shrinking?
- How much should be taken off the top for base allocation? Currently 15%. Is that the correct number?
- DAC rents - elimination of payment at Stanley Avenue and lease revenue at Daily Drive
- Ventura College potentially moving to small sized college; impact of \$600,000.
- How does the level of service continue in non-instructional areas? 50% Law awareness
- Generating more revenue – how do we account for that new revenue?
- What is the individual college incentive for Growth?
- Balance ongoing expenditures with ongoing revenue
- Moorpark College's priority item is equity in per FTES funding
- If changes are made, don't over-emphasize productivity. Where productivity is given a greater emphasis than growth. Example, a college doesn't have an incentive to pull back on offerings because they're saving money, but they are declining in FTES. Therefore, the District is declining in FTES and losing revenue.
- HRL/HR2 off the top (Districtwide services) as opposed to self-taxing via payroll
- Alternatives to the base allocation (For example, 9% instead of 15%, thus each college gets 3%.)
- Productivity Factor removal and/or manipulation
- Moorpark College perceives that in the last budget downturn whilst it implemented the cuts demanded with considerable cost, other colleges were able to delay and minimize the strictness of the cuts. (Specifically, in 2011 Moorpark discontinued 9 programs: CIS, CS, Interior Design, EMT, Sign Language, Work Experience, and three Athletic teams. In 2012, Moorpark cut an additional three programs: Education, Drafting Technology, and Nutritional Science. Over these two years Moorpark laid off one full-time faculty member, had many part-time faculty not rehired for discontinued programs and reduced sections; laid off X number of classified staff; and had thousands of students not able to get into classes.)
- A concern not to over-react to fiscal events both within the district and at the state level. Too severe a reaction is as damaging for our students as too little reaction. At Moorpark, we have now restored almost all the programs we cut but it has taken up to eight years to rebuild what we had.
- The need to renegotiate the 6.98% rate of the DAC in light of changes in its expenses with the move to Daily Drive as well as other factors, as discussed but not completed for the FY18 Allocation Model.