VENTURA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
District Council of Administrative Services (DCAS)

Thursday, September 19, 2013

NOTES

Attendees: Mike Bush, Dan Casey (via Lync), Erika Endrijonas
            Brian Fahnestock, Alan Hayashi, Iris Ingram, Linda Kamaila,
            Dave Keebler (via Lync), Deborah LaTeer, Mary Anne McNeil,
            Darlene Melby, Mary Rees, Art Sandford

Absent: Dominga Chavez

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. in the Thomas G. Lakin Board Room at
VCCCD, with a few members utilizing the district’s new Lync phone conferencing
capability.

Erika Endrijonas indicated that she was attending today as a substitute. The regular
member will be the new Interim Executive Vice President of Ventura College, once
named/announced.

Lucia Marquez, Executive Secretary from Associated Students at Ventura College, was
introduced as the new DCAS student representative.

APPROVAL OF NOTES
The notes from the August 22, 2013 meeting were distributed shortly after the August
meeting and again with the September 19 agenda. There were two minor changes to the
August notes under the FY14 Adoption Budget. The notes as amended were approved
by consensus.

DCAS MEMBERSHIP
The membership of DCAS was reviewed, as articulated in the Participatory Governance

DCAS CO-CHAIR
There was a brief discussion on the role of the co-chair. Art Sandford nominated Linda
Kamaila. Iris Ingram nominated Art Sandford. Art declined the nomination. There were
no other nominations. By consensus, Linda was elected co-chair, DCAS.

DCAS COMMITTEE CHARGE
Interim Vice Chancellor Fahnestock reviewed the existing DCAS Committee charge.
There were no proposed changes, but it was suggested that the Board Goals and
Objectives matrix be brought back to the Committee. This was a document the
Committee worked on several months ago. It was further suggested that it should return
after the Board accepts the Educational Master Plan and their own goals and objectives
and action steps can be created/revised. Interim Vice Chancellor Fahnestock will discuss
this further with Chancellor Moore. The DCAS Charge was approved by consensus.
**BUDGET DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE AND PROCESS**
The Budget Development Timeline and Calendar was reviewed and discussed. The Budget development calendar is closely tied to state budget. The calendar was agreed to by consensus.

**BUDGET ALLOCATION MODEL (REVIEW)**
The Allocation Model Events and Elements document (dated September 2013) was distributed and discussed. The District Budget Officer explained the document was created by a former Vice President of Business Services some time ago and has been updated. The document reflects the way the resources are allocated for FY2014. The District Budget Officer explained because certain revenues are volatile, they shouldn’t be counted on an ongoing basis. The IMF and general allocations are handled differently. It was further explained that utilities, including telephones, are taken off the top. The District Administrative Center (DAC) allocation is also off the top. For FY14, that equates to 8.1% of revenue.

There was a suggestion to indicate the DAC percentage is flexible and not fixed. There was also a brief discussion regarding international students and revenue associated with such students.

The District Budget Officer explained that this month is an introduction of the two models. Next month we will delve into any recommended changes. One thing that is typically looked at is the carryover. For the past several years, it has been 2% through the Budget Assumptions.

The District Budget Officer reminded the group of the mechanics of carry-over. If we were to reduce the carryover amount from 2% to 1%, the result would be a reduction in the budgets in the subsequent year.

The Oxnard College Vice President of Business Services Mike suggested it would be helpful if we had the suggestions prior to the October 10th DOC meeting, which will enable staff to make mock-ups of proposals, if appropriate.

There was a discussion on when productivity will be discussed. The factors are discussed and determined in Cabinet near January/February. The Moorpark College Vice President of Business Services assured DCAS it’s not whimsical and there is a process for developing the number.
INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING MODEL (REVIEW)
The Infrastructure Funding Model was reviewed and discussed. Most of the current DCAS members were part of the process of developing the IFM. Some volatile revenues were pulled from the general allocation and put into IFM because of need. There are five areas to focus the need for the funds. For FY15, less breakage is projected compared to prior years. It is anticipated that it will be $732,000 for IFM. That will be budgeted in the following year (third year – 2014-15). The IFM helps deal with stabilizing the general allocation model because we can deal with the changes better through IFM as opposed to general fund.

There was a brief discussion on how the District plans to deal with unfunded liabilities such as OPEB and scheduled maintenance. The IFM was partially created with the elimination of IELM, TTIP, etc., we created IFM to partially deal with those needs even though the money was gone.

FTES REPORT
Current FTES are generated every week until Census. After census, the report is generated every two weeks. There was a discussion about the district’s unfunded FTES goal, which is currently 300-500. What are the plans for spring?

OTHER BUSINESS
There was a question on the timing of Full-time Obligation Number (FON). The data is captured on September 30. District is making FON for this year. We will begin looking at FON in November. FON reports will be brought to the October DCAS meeting.

Meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:15 a.m.

Next meeting – Thursday, October 17, 2013 - 9:00 a.m.

Agenda Topics include:

- Budget Allocation Model – beginning stages of annual review
- Infrastructure Allocation Model – beginning stages of annual review
- FON
- FTES