

**VENTURA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
District Council of Administrative Services (DCAS)**

Thursday January 18, 2007

8:30 a.m.

District Administrative Office Multipurpose Room

**NOTES**

Attendees: Ken Bailey, Jeff Baker, Dominga Chavez, Debra Cronin, Ray Di Guilio, Connie Jenkins, Sue Johnson, Tom Kimberling, Mary Anne McNeil, Deborah Moreno, Karen Osher, Jeanette Redding, Kathryn Schoenrock, Yvonne Scholle

*The meeting began at 8:35 a.m.*

**JANUARY GOVERNOR'S BUDGET**

Sue Johnson commented that the Governor was kind to the community colleges, yet the budget is disappointing in comparison to last year's budget.

Deborah Moreno distributed the 2008 January Governor's Budget narrative. The system received 11.06% of Prop 98 funds, but that calculation includes proposed shifts in transportation and CalWorks dollars for K-12. Even with these funding switches, the System will receive approximately 10.99% of Prop 98, still higher than the previous year.

The major components of the Governors proposal are:

4.04% inflationary factor

2% growth

\$33.1 million in surplus basic skills funding has been redirected, with \$14 million to matriculation and \$19.1 million to additional counseling and tutoring. Specifics have not yet been received, and the system is fighting this redirection. The \$14 million will restore matriculation back to the funding levels before budget cuts several years ago.

Sue highlighted the major items not in the budget, such as State mandated cost reimbursements.

## **2007-2008 CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY COLLEGE BUDGET**

### **Comparison of System Budget Request to Governor's Budget Preliminary Draft**

The comparison sheet was distributed to the committee. Sue Johnson commented there are only a few areas where funds were received, many areas were unaddressed. Sue clarified that any restoration dollars received does not come out of the Growth funds. Tom Kimberling commented on the significant changes projected in STRS and PERS rates for unfunded liabilities and noted that STRS has been the same rate for 10 years. This rate increase beginning in 2009 and continuing to increase for several years thereafter will have significant impact on our expenditures.

### **REVENUE SCHEDULE GENERAL FUND-UNRESTRICTED (FUND 111) – REVENUE PROJECTIONS FOR FY 08 (IMPLEMENTING SB361)**

Revenue projections sheet showing the new funding method under SB361 were distributed. Deborah Moreno explained the District will receive our apportionment in a different way beginning this year forward.

Base Allocation- Our District receives a base allocation for each college based on the FTES served. We currently have one medium college and two small colleges. The combined base allocation amount projected for FY08 is approx. \$10 million. This funding amount is increased each year by an inflationary factor.

Credit FTES- Every credit FTES will be paid at the same funding rate (with the exception of 6-7 districts) throughout the state. The FY08 funding level is projected to be \$4,544 per credit FTES. This per FTES funding level is increased each year by an inflationary factor.

Non Credit FTES - The FY08 funding level is projected to be \$2,732 per non-credit FTES.

Enhanced Non Credit FTES- the FY08 funding level is projected to be \$3,217 per enhanced non-credit FTES. At this time our district is not expected to generate any Enhanced Non Credit FTES. The criteria for determining which classes are eligible for enhanced non credit has been debated at the state level. Currently, a district must apply to have classes approved for this determination. Once that determination is made for VCCCD we will update the revenue projections if appropriate.

Restoration- The district is projecting 650 FTES to be restored in FY08. Sue Johnson indicated that we expect to be fully funded for any restoration of FTES we generate, and clarified that restoration dollars are not a part of growth dollars. We will be updating this projection as FTES is reviewed.

Connie Jenkins inquired as to why the restoration funds are not reflected in FY07 budget and inquired as to whether they would be reported at P2. We do expect to report at P2.

Jeff Baker inquired about the maximum restoration eligible. Sue Johnson stated that we are eligible to receive restoration on all the FTES we lost and that we had three years in which to do so.

Deborah Moreno will provide a timeline for P2 reporting at the next DCAS meeting.

Non resident tuition and lottery projections were also discussed.

## **ENROLLMENT**

### **FTES Comparisons for 2006-2007 (as of January 16, 2007 – 2<sup>nd</sup> week of spring term) compared to 2005-2006 (at Annual) distribution.**

Sue Johnson discussed areas that may effect enrollment: outreach, marketing, fee reduction, unemployment, etc. Spring 2007 is not as high as we expected

### **One-Time Funds / Local Priorities**

Sue Johnson commented that an update on the use of funds had been promised meeting when the allocations were proposed, and reminded the committee that they had agreed to a January 18<sup>th</sup> deadline to review the status of these projects/funds. The District has since learned that these funds do not expire as of June 30, 2007, and we have additional time to make decisions if we choose.

Mary Anne McNeil distributed the handout which detailed the Districtwide and College projects, including the budgets, amounts expended, and the balance remaining. Oxnard College's spending plan is still under development as per their newly revised shared governance model.

Districtwide Marketing and Outreach - fully expended.

Web update – an offsite audit has been done, and will be being reviewed with each college.

Distance Ed – The Districtwide Distance Education Task Force has been working on the implementation of this project. At this time the project is still moving on schedule and is expected to result in acquisition and implementation in time to meet college needs.

DCAS indicated consensus to not adjust allocations at this time based on the Distance Ed project progress to date, however status updates will occur at every

other DCAS meeting, and if it appears that the project will not proceed or funds are projected to be unspent, subsequent re-allocation will be made.

### **BUDGET ALLOCATION – BASIC PRINCIPLES**

The previously recommended Budget Allocation Basic Principles were distributed to the committee as a foundation for the next meeting. The next meeting will be dedicated to the review of concepts for a new model. So that the committee does not have to start with a “clean sheet”, the Vice Presidents and District staff will develop some beginning concepts for the committee to react to. Sue Johnson said that she felt that two to four meetings would be needed to develop an allocation model to propose for the FY08 year.

### **STRATEGIC PLANNING – OBJECTIVES/ACTIONS**

#### **STRATEGY: FISCAL STABILITY & ACCOUNTABILITY**

Sue Johnson distributed the Fiscal Stability & Accountability sheet objectives developed through the Strategic Planning process. She asked DCAS to consider those objectives and provide comment and input, as well as to action steps for each of the objectives listed. It was recommended that the wording in item “B”, be changed from “moves toward the state revenue model” to “incorporate the state revenue model”.

### **DCAS Meeting Schedule**

The DCAS meeting schedule for calendar year 2007 was distributed. An additional DCAS meeting is scheduled for February 8, to discuss the Allocation model. Additional meetings will be held on March 8<sup>th</sup> and March 15<sup>th</sup> for the allocation process.

*Meeting adjourned at 10:15 a.m.*

**Next meeting:** Thursday February 8, 2007 8:30 – Noon  
**Topic of Discussion:** Allocation model